



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,698	3	08/07/2002	Mohsen Shahinpoor	9324	
27232	7590	06/08/2005		EXAMINER	
	EN SHAHI		BERKO, RETFORD O		
909 VIRGINIA, NE, SUITE 205 ALBERQUERQUE, NM 87108				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1618	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/064,698	SHAHINPOOR ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Retford Berko	1618				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE   - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu Anys	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	<u>arch 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6,21-23 and 25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-6,21-23 and 25 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· /				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgement: The Amendment filed 3/21/05 is acknowledged.

#### **Status of Claims**

- 1. Applicant states that claims 7-20 and claim 24 are withdrawn. It is unclear as to the status of claims 7-20 and 24 because of incorrect terminology. We assume that the claims have been cancelled.
- 2. The claims that remain for examination are: claims 1-6 and claims 21-23 and 25.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallace et al (US 6,476, 037 filed March 23, 2000) in view of Garfield et al (5, 698, 738) further in view of Green et al (US 5, 358, 714).

Wallace et al disclose that L-arginine and type 5 phosphodiesterase inhibitors (sildenafil) have synergistic effect in vasodialative effect (abstract, col 5, lin 45-55, col 21, lin 60-65). According to Wallace, the interaction between sildenafil and nitric oxide donors may have clinical use for amplification of the effects of l-arginine, nitroglycerin or other NO donors in relation to vasodilation (col 22, lin 55-60).

Wallace (Patent 037) does not teach the use of nitroglycerine, I-arginine or sildenafil as chemical agents that stimulate hair growth or the use of these agents for inhibiting alopecia; either independently or in combination.

Garfield et al (Patent '738) disclose that nitroprusside, nitroglycerin and other NO donors such as l-arginine have important physiological effects due to the nitrous oxide modulating activity; including ability to improve hair growth (col 5, lin 60-65, col 6, lin 50-60m and col 7, lin 45-60; Table 2).

Green et al (Patent '714) is relied upon to provide the linking disclosure—i.e. that minoxidol and its derivatives act as hair growth stimulants (col 7, lin 15 continuing to col 8, lin45-50).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make use of a composition comprising of two apparently different compound, each independently having ability to stimulate hair growth (e.g. NO donor such as nitroglycerine plus phosphodiesterase inhibitor, such as minoxidol or sildenafil) for modulating nitrous oxide activity for improving hair growth as disclosed by Garfield. One of ordinary skill would expect to obtain a synergism in promoting hair growth or inhibition of alopecia because each of the ingredients have been known to be independently effective as hair growth stimulants (see Greene and Garfield). Therefore the invention as a whole wherein applicant is claiming a method of treating alopecia by administering to a mammal a composition comprising of NO donor (1-arginine, nitroglycerine or nitroprusside) and phosphodiesterase inhibitor (sidenafil or minoxidil) would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill given the known biological effects of the compounds.

Art Unit: 1618

2. Claims 1, 2, 6and 21-23 and 25 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallace et al (US 6,476, 037 filed March 23, 2000) in view of the combination of Rogers et al (6, 747,008; filed November 27, 2000), Bazzano et al (US 5, 183, 817) and Bazzano et al (US 5, 514, 672).

The disclosures of Wallace et al (Patent 037) were discussed above in parag 1.

Wallace (Patent '037) does not teach the use of peptides or captopril, peptides and antioxidants.

Rogers et al (Patent '008) a method for treating alopecia, said method includes the use of minoxidol and compounds such as paptopril, captopril-cysteine and captopril-glutathione (col 2, lin 65; continuing to col 3, lin 20-25 and col 35, lin 1-5).

Rogers does not disclose the use of antioxidants.

Bazzano et al (Patent '817) disclose the use of a composition comprising minoxidol and minoxidol-type compounds and anti-oxidant retinoids or tochopherols for stimulating hair growth; said composition in formulations such as topical lotions and creams (abstract, col 3, lin 60-65; col 5, lin 15-20; col 18, lin 30; col 20, lin 4-50; col 24, lin 40 and col 29, lin 30-35).

Bazzano et al (Patent '672) disclose a composition that stimulates hair growth when used topically; said composition comprising of retinoids (abstract, and col 30, lin 40-45). Bazzano also discloses that the hair-stimulating retinoid composition is formulated as a pharmaceutical, cosmetic and veterinary formulations and can be administered orally and in compounds added to animal foods (col 20, lin 60-67). Significantly, Bazzano further discloses that the retinoid compounds cause excellent percutaneous absorption of active compounds on keratinizing cells of the skin and helps overcome a major problem encountered in absorption of active compounds for stimulation of hair growth (col 20, lin 45-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/064,698

Art Unit: 1618

One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to prepare pharmaceutical, cosmetic and veterinary compositions comprising of agents shown to stimulate hair growth in both man and animals and add to such compositions tocopherols such as retinoic acid to the formulations. One of ordinary skill would expect to obtain excellent absorption of the retinoid compounds ingredients and thereby increase the rate of hair growth by administering the formulations containing such ingredients to patients and pets because Bazzano has disclosed the ability of tochopherols to stimulate percutaneous absorption of active compounds (see Bazzano at col 20, lin 45-50). Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time that it was made.

### **Response To Arguments**

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are found unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that specific c-GMP phosphodiesterase inhibitors e.g. sildenafil citrate alone does not enhance hair growth, that as sildenafil citrate by itself is not a vasodilator, that applicant has combined sildenafil citrate with nitric oxide donor in a topical composition purported to enhance hair growth; concluding that this was not obvious in the disclosure by Wallace et al.

In response, Wallace et al disclosed the use of nitrovasodilators or nitric oxide donors (e.g. nitroglycerin and minoxidil-like compounds) and c-GMP PDE-inhibitors (e.g. sidenafil citrate)—see col 21, lin 60-65, col 22, lin 55-65 and col 23, lin 50-65); suggesting that combinations of sidenafil and other nitric oxide donors have great potential as vasodialators (col 22, lin 65). As discussed, Greene et al disclose that minoxidil and derivatives are hair growth stimulators (col 7, lin 15-40; continuing to col 8, lin 40-50). Because Bazanno et al showed that

Application/Control Number: 10/064,698

Art Unit: 1618

minoxidil promotes new hair growth, examiner maintains the position that maintains the position that the instant claims would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill to be motivated to use a method comprising topical application of a composition whose ingredients comprise of nitrovasodilator, minoxodil-like compound and a c-GMP PDE-inhibitor because one of ordinary skill would expect to obtain an effect of promoting hair growth as the the prior art clearly have shown ((Wallace at col 22, lin 65; Greene at col 7, lin 14-40 and col 8, lin 45-50 and Bazzano col 5, lin 16-29); the motivation to combine being the need to alleviate alopecia.

Page 6

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Respectfully,

ROB.

Correspondence

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Retford Berko** whose telephone number is571-272-0590. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8.00 am to 5.30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Thurman K Page, can be reached on 571-272-0602.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER